

Secret UN Meetings on ET Reality Continue

Contributed by WebAdmin
Monday, 13 October 2008
Last Updated Sunday, 10 May 2009

CAUTION SICKNESS!

UN Disclosure and Event Minimisation Tactics

This article was part of an Exopolitics UK Newsletter

October 2008.

!-Comments on UN Meeting Update-!

It's interesting that the familiar patterns have accompanied the leaked, yet detailed, information from several groups within the UFO/exopolitics research community. We see this form of cynical playing down of such research from within the established field itself - just as we did when the testimonies of say Bob Lazar and Col. Philip Corso came to light in previous years. In the case of Lazar and Corso many refused to acknowledge the possibility of any truth in their accounts, yet as sufficient time has passed, they are now universally accepted as having made significant contributions to exopolitical research. The former nay-sayers however rarely come forward to admit their misjudgements and encourage more constructive approaches.

Whilst we should embrace sound fact-checking [as far as this is feasible in this rumour-fuelled and black-project foggy area] and open-minded scepticism it seems to me that outside of those who have deeper reasons for debunking and minimising new information leads - some researchers suffer from what we'll call 'caution sickness'.

Note:: Check the video interview on this topic here

This affliction results in both new and experienced researchers permanently playing it safe by hedging their comments towards the over-rational reality or outcome - their logic being that it is better to ultimately fade into the background when a risky case ultimately receives a positive consensus than suffer the 'humiliation' of having staked their reputation and suffered a perceived 'loss'.

Some have consistently and correctly made the case that this is the one field where we need people who are prepared to take a leap of faith for two main reasons. Firstly the high weirdness of the core issues at hand demand a different lens or framework through which we map our findings and fluid conclusions. Secondly, time and time again we've seen how the 60 plus years of confusion and disinformation seeded by various dominating agencies [and to some extent perhaps by extra-terrestrial intelligences themselves?!] have resulted in what at first seems to be a minor node of interest growing into something far more significant on a political, cultural and scientific level.

Besides these factors, if a fleet of UFOs was witnessed over Washington DC in the 1950s - it's about time we heard the United Nations were discussing issues of extra-terrestrial intelligences!

This 'event minimisation' was seen once more when the first reports of secret United Nations meetings was discussed on net forums and analysed by Dr M Salla of the Exopolitics Institute.

Comments ranged from it being pure fiction to UFO heavyweights such as [[Jacques Valle]] suggesting it was little more than a manufactured memetic construct.

After months of investigation, as much investigation as can be done with alledged meetings involving the military and UN on the most controlled issue facing humanity, it does now appear that the core of the claims are true. Not only did meetings take place, they evolved to discuss issues of ET disclosure with many UN affiliated nations and bridged all political/ambassadorial ranks as well as featuring element of the army, navy, air force and so on.

One of the key goals of the exopolitics field is to make those with perhaps only a limited interest in the UFO/ET issue begin to see that far from this area being a cultural joke or purely terrestrial [ie: black world military] phenomenon - it is in fact a vast paradigm in its own right and one that lies at the very heart of modern geopolitics, impacting profoundly on our species evolutionary path. Those that go out of their way to minimise, distort or debunk when significant research intially rears its head are doing as much damage to the development of this field as the mainstream media does when they bring out the 'little green men' cliches. Some will suggest that this cautious approach is needed to maintain and/or repair the image of research on the UFO/disclosure front due to previous associations with 'kookiness' and hyping of events which then amount to little. Instead I'd suggest that we collectively develop a greater immunity to appearing foolish - or plain wrong - because time is something we're short on when it comes to opening up these areas to a wider world. It's also quite likely that some sort of feedback loop exists where as this field further infiltrates mainstream consensus and attracts multidisciplinary input, so the signal-to-noise ratio levels out.

Instead of demanding the proverbial 'latrine from the saucer' [a phrase I borrow from Stephen Bassett as it sums up this attitude perfectly] knowing of course that just as classical physics fails to account for 99% of UFO 'science' - researchers should shift expectations onto a more holistic level - one that aims to build a mosaic of data-points rather than instantly attain rational answers. In the early days of the UN Meeting articles - people were for example asking for 'official UN schedules' showing the meeting times and memberships - an obviously redundant point of enquiry given the nature of the UFOlogical beast we're dealing with. This approach is just one of the many dubious and transparent systems of steering people away from novel data. In this case the sceptic poses a singular, trivial question and then uses the fact it cannot immediately be answered in Aristotelian fashion as a mechanism for refuting the whole issue at hand. Watch out for this one - it's used an awful lot in this area!

Exo Data Points: Manifesting a Mosaic

To gain a better understanding of investigating this and similar issues, I'd advise anyone interested to take a look through UFODigest's article written by "Source A", Clay and Shawn Pickering and Robert Morningstar called How cover works. In the mean time it appears that as many have been suggesting for years, not only is there a process of public acclimation going on, but in addition a far more tangible process of global disclosure is being discussed at every level. This move is the result of many forces - including those that elude the military industrial complex. For a good background on the UN meetings and disclosure issues generally, listen to the audio streamed below from the Kevin Smith Show featuring Clay and Shawn Pickering. The interview contains significant detail and background into the case.

In a follow-up to this editorial on UN Global Disclosure, we'll examine why we need to urgently expand the discussion of this area into more formal cultural structures and systems.

Initial article | Initial commentary | Confirming Initial Research | Latest article follows.

{mp3remote}http://exopoliticsunitedkingdom.org/audio/kevinsmith_June2008_UN-disclosure.mp3{/mp3remote}

Kevin Smith Radio Show - Clay and Shawn Pickering on UN Meetings and General Disclosure

Approx 2 hrs - Skip the interim music if not to taste!

The SOM Army manual on UFO and EBE recovery referred to in the podcast can be downloaded in our document archive.

Secret United Nations Meetings on Extraterrestrial Life Continue:

Threats Used to Prevent Diplomatic Leaks

On October 8, 2008, I met with the original source that disclosed that a secret meeting had been held at the United Nations headquarters in New York City on February 12 to discuss UFOs and extraterrestrial life. The original source, Source A, discussed the most recent developments concerning secret meetings conducted under UN auspices to discuss UFOs and extraterrestrial life. The October 8 meeting was attended by Source A, Clay and Shawn Pickering (who kindly set up the meeting) and Bob Vanderclough. I spent a total of 4.5 hours discussing the UN meetings and related issues with Source A, and others present. Source A was dressed in full US Navy uniform with identifying insignia including name and rank. He showed me his military I.D. which confirmed his name, rank and pay scale. His I.D. card was valid for a three year period and had a vertical barcode on the left hand side next to his photo.

He subsequently showed me an album filled with photos, military patches and cards that represented his various assignments and awards since first joining the US Navy in the 1960s. He explained each of the pages inside of his album to all present. The material he showed conclusively proved that he was an electronics warfare

specialist with the US Navy. With all the documentation presented and his full dress Navy uniform, it was clear that he is a serving US Navy officer willing to discuss sensitive information concerning UFOs and extraterrestrial life. From his careful and precise choice of language, clarity of expression, demeanor, eye-contact and other non-verbal cues, Source A appeared very personable and sincere in the information he was disclosing.

Source A said that as far as his military superiors in the normal chain of command are concerned, they are aware of his role in leaking sensitive information. They have a tacit policy of not wanting to know what he is doing, and are not taking action to prevent him disclosing the sensitive information. It was understood that getting national media exposure would force the Navy to take disciplinary action. That is one of the main reasons why the identity of Source A has not been publicly released. He has however agreed to be physically interviewed and shown his credentials to the following researchers: Clay and Shawn Pickering, Bob Vanderlock, Robert Morningstar, Dr Bruce Maccabee, two other unnamed researchers, and most recently myself on October 8.

During the meeting, Source A disclosed that he continues to work under the direction of a US Navy admiral who is in command of the controlled leak of the meetings occurring under UN auspices. This admiral was unnamed and is not part of the official chain of military command for Source A, but is his superior in covert operations that have need-to-know security status. Thus it's important to emphasize that Source A's disclosures are not part of official US Navy policy, but is orchestrated by a covert operations group comprising senior Navy officials (admirals) and others.

In this article, I will discuss three aspects of what Source A revealed concerning the UN meetings at our October 8 meeting: continued meetings under UN auspices; physical threats to prevent diplomatic disclosure of the UN meetings; and role of international corporations.

Further UN Meetings

After the initial meeting on February 12, 2008, disclosed by Source A (not including the February 13-14 meeting disclosed by Gilles Lorant) there have been an additional three meetings attended by Source A. Another two meetings were held without Source A after he had been reassigned. Source A was replaced by another military officer who he has yet to meet. The same US Navy admiral remained in control of the US delegation even though he didn't attend the additional meetings. All five additional meetings involved military representatives from member UN nations that attended the February 12 meeting with important additions and changes in personnel in attendance. All but the first were held outside of the UN headquarters and involved military officials. The composition, origin and diplomatic briefing responsibility of those attending the meetings does invite referring to them as a series of 'UN inspired meetings concerning extraterrestrial life'.

Four additional meetings were held in Upstate New York. Upstate NY was chosen in order to have a more secure environment to that available at the UN due to the sensitive nature of the material discussed. Upstate NY also provided a more conducive environment for reaching consensus on issues that proved to be contentious at the original February 12 meeting.

According to Source A, the February 12 meeting was a diplomatic fiasco. There were too many senior diplomatic officials involved and discussions quickly became politicized. The US Navy admiral in charge of Source A's controlled leak of information on the UN meetings, along with other senior diplomatic officials

at the ambassadorial rank and senior military officials attended the February 12 meeting. The admiral continues to be in charge of the US delegation to all subsequent meetings emerging from the first UN meeting.

Source A says he travelled to Europe after the February 12 meeting to find the right military people for the forthcoming meetings to be held at Upstate NY. He went to every country in Europe in order to find out who was hostile and who was ambivalent concerning disclosure of extraterrestrial life.

It was decided that no further meetings were required at the UN since key players were known, and they could be invited to Upstate NY for additional meetings. All invited to the Upstate NY meetings were military liaisons of UN member states. These involved middle ranking officers since consensus was more likely to occur without senior diplomats who had the tendency to politicize discussions. It was decided that having middle ranking military liaisons would help keep a low profile on the difficult negotiations required for a coordinated international response to extraterrestrial life. All military liaison personnel at the Upstate NY meetings would brief senior officials in their respective diplomatic corps at the UN.

Source A said that that various nations were either in favor or opposed to a coordinated international disclosure effort. Source A emphasized that the US Navy has always been pro-disclosure and due to its leading role in policy issues concerning extraterrestrial life and the UN meetings, made the US pro-disclosure. The French along with Britain was also in favor of disclosure. Britain, however, asked that the US should lead the effort. Germany, Saudi Arabia and Islamic countries more generally were hostile to disclosure. Source A said India was "impossible to deal with". Military liaison(s) from China attended all the Upstate NY meetings (though not the original February 12 meeting) and said that the US should "pay for disclosure". According to Source A this was a considerable point of contention.

The subsequent meetings at Upstate NY were chaired by different countries. Source A was the highest ranking US officer at the first three post-February 12 meetings held at Upstate NY until he was replaced for the last two which were held respectively in Upstate NY and an undisclosed location in Europe.

The second UN UFO/ET meeting (the first held at Upstate NY) was held from March 24-26 and was chaired by the military liaison from Britain. Source A revealed that this was very productive in terms of consensus, goals and vision. A table of contents concerning key issues to be discussed was produced which presumably would help guide future discussions. This meeting lasted the full three days that were scheduled. China was present at the 2nd and subsequent meetings at Upstate NY and Europe.

The third UN UFO/ET meeting (second at Upstate NY) was chaired by the senior military liaison from France. According to Source A, this meeting was a disaster. This meeting ran from May 19-21 and focused on economic issues that was revealed in an earlier communication released by Clay and Sean Pickering. This meeting lasted three days.

The fourth UN UFO/ET meeting was chaired by the senior US military liaison which was Source A. An attempt was made to find neutral territory, but was unsuccessful. This meeting again, according to Source A, was a disaster. It lasted only one day from the three scheduled. The meeting occurred just prior

to Source A leaving for another covert assignment at the end of May 2008.

Source

A emphasized that the US Navy (and Marines) has always been focused on overall policy issues concerning extraterrestrials, while the USAF handled contact with extraterrestrial life. The US Army, Department of Energy and Department of Homeland Security have been responsible for security and bases. This is corroborated by reports that extraterrestrials had in the past showed up USAF bases to deal with representatives of the Eisenhower administration. Also, whistleblower reports confirm that Army forces have been involved with enforcing security at bases involving extraterrestrial related projects.

Source

A said that USAF has handed off to the US Navy responsibility for a specific contact project involving the extraterrestrials discussed in the February 12 meeting. That means that US Navy has begun to play a role in both setting policy and contact with at least one form of extraterrestrial life.

The fifth UN UFO/ET meeting was attended by the replacement of Source A whose identity has not been revealed. This meeting was again held in Upstate NY. According to the US Navy admiral in overall charge of the US delegation (led by Source A's replacement) the meeting was cordial.

The sixth meeting was held outside of the US (in Europe) for security reasons. According to the admiral, those involved in the European meeting were "doing good work"

Source

A emphasized that the military liaisons at this series of UN inspired meetings were trying to get agreement on how nations ought to respond to the prospect of extraterrestrial vehicles appearing over countries. It's worth pointing out that this is the same scenario that was debated in the Japanese parliament in December 2007. It led to the Japanese Minister of Defense making a statement concerning how the Japanese self defense force might respond to such a scenario.

Source A said further that the admiral in charge of the covert disclosure process revealed the talking points for the last two meetings held without Source A's attendance. These included the following:

1.
"What if [extraterrestrial] spacecraft start making demands?"
2.
"If they [extraterrestrials] do make demands, what should be our responses as a collective people?"
3. If the craft appear without any hostile intent, there should be agreement that no hostile action is taken by any nation.
4.
That NATO should take whatever measures necessary to ensure no hostile response is taken in response to the appearance of any ETV occurs.
5. International Banking systems should keep operating with minimal disruption to economic systems.

6.
How to ensure the global distribution of extraterrestrial knowledge and technology.

According to Source A, point six was the most difficult issue in the discussions.

Threats to UN Diplomatic Community

I raised the issue of two independent reports that diplomats had been physically threatened to remain silent about UN discussions on UFOs and extraterrestrial life. I emphasized that one of the sources involved a senior European diplomat that had relayed that the physical threats were genuine and diplomats were being silenced.

Source

A mentioned that one of the reasons that the UN had been chosen was that the diplomatic community is very good at leaking information. This was an important consideration in the controlled leakage initiated by the admiral and other senior Navy officials "to gauge the responses." In particular, they wanted to see the public response to the UN disclosures, and also the media response. The choice of UN ambassadors to receive the information was a strategic choice to help move forward the disclosure process. All ambassadors were fully briefed by their military liaisons on what transpired at the Upstate NY and European meetings. The Ambassadors were therefore an important part of the controlled leak and the covert Navy group is very interested in any threats made against them that impacts on the disclosure process.

Source A said that the world is hostile to extraterrestrial disclosure, that's what the US Navy's covert group is finding out. They are trying to find out where the hostility is coming from and whether it can be neutralized and reduced.

Source

A confirmed the independent reports that UN diplomats were being threatened not to reveal to the public anything about the secret UN meetings discussing extraterrestrial life. Source A got his confirmation from unauthorized sources that he pointed out were more accurate than authorized sources. Unauthorized sources involved covert intelligence operations, and that Source A's counterparts from the British M.O.D. were involved.

The covert Navy group behind the UN disclosures is trying to track down the source of the threats to the UN diplomats. So far these have been traced to international corporations.

The Role of International Corporations

I asked Source A if he was familiar with the Admiral Wilson incident where in 1997 in a meeting with Dr Stephen Greer and Dr Edgar Mitchell, Wilson was given codes to classified extraterrestrial related projects . Dr Mitchell recently commented in an interview about the incident substantially confirming what Greer had earlier disclosed.

I pointed out that Admiral Wilson was apparently denied access by the attorneys of a corporation. Source A said that many defense

projects are subcontracted out to corporations. While DARPA may have started as the lead agency, they then subcontracted out to US and international contractors, e.g., SAIC, Lockheed, BAE, Airbus, EADS, etc. He pointed out that Corporations are much better at keeping secrets than the military. Small companies often chosen to do black projects, e.g., 300 employees. They can more easily enforce discipline to keep the projects secret. The US Defense Industry is filled with projects given to corporations. From our discussion, it was clear that there was much concern over the prominent role played by corporations in controlling information and access to extraterrestrial related projects.

Conclusion

There has been partial confirmation for the original meeting on February 12 from a number of independent sources. Due to the anonymous nature of these independent sources, there still has not been any significant media interest in the meetings conducted at the UN on February 12. Only the UFO/exopolitics community has shown any sustained interest in Source A's disclosures and have attempted to verify these. Most of these efforts have occurred in association with members of the Open Minds Forum that has devoted considerable time and energy in investigating various aspects of Source A's disclosures. The continued meetings in Upstate NY and Europe revealed by Source A require independent confirmation. Further investigation is required to confirm the meetings themselves, as well as their content and nations involved.

My impression of Source A is that he is sincere in his disclosures and genuinely involved in an effort by a covert group comprising senior US Navy officers to disclose information concerning extraterrestrial life. His willingness to have independent confirmation of his credentials and to be interviewed by different researchers does give confidence in his disclosures. While this is no guarantee that his disclosures are accurate, it does help inspire confidence that he is part of overall disclosure process sanctioned by senior Navy officials involved in covert operations.

There appears to be genuine concern over the way in which covert projects involving extraterrestrial related information is being run and the role played by corporations in controlling this. The extent to which the US Navy and other military forces have been sidelined in the internationalization and corporatization of extraterrestrial related projects is a major cause for concern. This is especially important insofar as threats to the diplomatic community to remain silent about the UN UFO/ET meetings have been traced to corporations.

It does appear that an important convergence of interests has led to senior US Navy officials in covert operations embarking on a controlled leak of information to the UFO/exopolitics community. This is a promising development given the nature of the privatized control system that has emerged to manage extraterrestrial related information. The lack of oversight and transparency of such a control system is not only a major cause of concern for the general public, but also for senior military officials who take seriously their oath to uphold and protect the US Constitution.

[Note: I wish to thank Clay and Shawn Pickering and Source A for their help in clarifying issues raised in this paper. For those wishing to ask questions or discuss the above issues, please visit the Open Mind Forum, [click here](#)]

Michael
E. Salla, Ph.D

Kona, Hawaii

10/12/08

www.Exopolitics.Org

Forward

as you wish. Permission is granted to circulate among private individuals and groups, post on all Internet sites and publish in full in all not-for-profit publications. Contact author for all other rights, which are reserved.