

Why Exopolitics has a small p

Contributed by Administrator
 Tuesday, 01 May 2007
 Last Updated Monday, 05 October 2009

Why exopolitics has a small 'p'

Please note that this article has been updated by a more recent introduction from the Leeds Exopolitics Event.

The common criticism against the exopolitical agenda is that it claims to "know all the answers". This is simply untrue and somewhat absurd. There is no way a structure dealing with such a phenomena can know all the answers

- instead exopolitics is trying to add some context and perspective to the wealth of data left by 50 years of UFO studies and the many other structures that have attempted to confront the issue - ie: to at least attempt to provide a map of the terrain as we explore our way collectively to a shared cosmos.

Taken from OM Forum post

One of the reasons the field exopolitics has demonstration huge growth in the last few years and the reason why it will continue to embrace a wide audience is because unlike the older UFO field it is a dynamic, organic process of becoming.

Although Steve Bassett primarily centres his focus on political lobbying [see: PRG definition of exopol and disclosure - paradigmresearchgroup.org] - he has no problems with wider fields of exploration. Webre on the other hand has a vast range of knowledge and experience in a multitude of areas - incorporating much of it into his and Carol Rosin's "Four D's" [see: www.exopolitics.com]. Webre states that exopolitics is an interplay - not a static definition.

The common criticism against the exopolitical agenda is that it claims to "know all the answers". This is simply untrue and somewhat absurd. There is no way a structure dealing with an alien

intelligence can know all the answers

- instead exopolitics is trying to maybe fill the vacuum left by 50

years of UFO studies - ie: to at least attempt to provide a map of the terrain as we explore our way collectively to the cosmos and ETI.

The "politics" of exopolitics has a small p.

It in no way deals with *just* the formal political structures.

Disclosure has a far wider definition - especially in this era of

networked hyper media and the failing mainstream media outlets. The

1960s model of activism is now but one option on the agenda and

exopolitical thought should, and does, encompass them all.

On many levels the systems of the Catholic model of mediated priesthood vs. direct connection to the "Other" are being played out. Endless excursions into politics with a large "P" or 'insider'/intel

circular "disclosure" leaks are now only part of the game. Disclosure

by its nature is chaotic, prankster-like and mostly unpredictable. One

only has to look at the recent, voluminous footage from Mexico to know

that to an extent "we" are not in control and our agendas may well be

irrelevant to the wider scheme of things.